The Coffee Initiative - TechnoServe

**R&R type**  
Grant-based rehabilitation

**Countries**  
East Africa (Ethiopia, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania)

**Cost**  
USD 47 million in 2008 and USD 18 million in 2012

**Dates**  
2008 - 2016

**Project context**
- The 5 million SHFs across East Africa have (on average) 50% lower yields than those in Central America. The primary reason is the lack of adoption of Good Agricultural Practices (GAP).
- Rehabilitation alongside GAP can enable farmers to reach good levels of productivity, even for trees above the productivity peak. Renovation is not always needed.

**Objectives, activities, and results**
- The Coffee Initiative developed the Farm College program. The Coffee Initiative recruited farmer trainers, mostly daughters and sons of local coffee farmers, to deliver training on GAP and on rehabilitation practices to farmers. Each farmer trainer was responsible for training between nine and 13 groups of 30 or more farmers. Every training group selected a member who volunteered his/her land as a demonstration plot.
- The monthly lessons included sessions on pruning techniques, rejuvenation, pest and disease management, coffee planting, and the safe use of pesticides.
- In total, 139,609 farmers were trained.
- Value creation: increased yields of least productive SHFs and improved livelihoods.
- Value capture: the program finances a public good. The value is yet to be captured by the financers.

**Notes:**  
(1) Philanthropic rehabilitation is part of one of the three strategies of the Coffee Initiative. The two other strategies are the assistance of farmers in the establishment of coffee processing stations and the strengthening of the overall value chain to enhance the competitiveness of the East African Specialty coffee. (2) This amount was mobilized for the whole project and not specifically for the rehabilitation program. Sources: Coffee Initiative Final Report, TechnoServe – Dalberg interviews.
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**Figure 1:** Farm college programs – overview of technical assistance delivery to farmers
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Adoption of GAP and rehabilitation programs lead to production uplift in East Africa in spite of ageing trees

**Project context**

- **Relevance:** SHFs in East Africa typically have low yields and have potential for yield uplift by applying GAP and R&R (e.g., potential of 92% net income increase from yield improvements in Ethiopia, 138% in Kenya, 85% in Tanzania and 63% in Uganda).  
- **Willingness:** SHFs lack short-term willingness to renovate old trees due to lack of knowledge and unwillingness to forego short-term income. This hurdle can be overcome by implementing demonstration plots.

- **Country situation:** SHFs are mostly dependent on intermediaries to access markets and are largely disconnected from technical assistance, inputs and providers. However, situations differ across countries (e.g., cooperatives are stronger in Kenya).
- **Program segmentation:** The program targets SHFs in loose value chains or with inconsistent access to markets.

- **Country need:** Over 50% of the coffee trees in East Africa are over 50 years old. However, renovation is not always needed. A good level of productivity can be obtained through rehabilitation.
- **Program objectives:** Train close to 140,000 farmers around GAP and rehabilitation techniques to increase their productivity.

**Management of the three R&R components**

- **Inputs**
  - **Providers:** Third party companies
  - **Challenges faced:** Few farmers use fertilizers, and lack knowledge on how to use them correctly (amount, timing, and type of fertilizers).
  - **Solution:** The Coffee Initiative commissioned soil and leaf surveys to better understand the existing soil conditions and nutrient needs in each country, allowing the development of localized nutrition recommendations included in Farm College trainings. Private agro-input suppliers were supported to adopt recommendations and linkages to cooperatives.

- **Finance**
  - **Providers:** The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation to TechnoServe.
  - **Challenges faced:** More investment is needed in the sector, but the private sector is reluctant to engage alone.
  - **Solution:** The project aims to create partnerships between business, public sector, and NGOs. For example, Nib Bank (Ethiopia) agreed to continue providing working capital to cooperatives when the Coffee Initiative ended on the condition that the coffee unions hire business advisors to provide TA.

- **Knowledge**
  - **Providers:** The Coffee Initiative
  - **Challenges faced:** Farmers have low access to TA and may be reluctant to implement new practices.
  - **Solution:** The Coffee Initiative developed a decentralized training program, the “Farm College program”: they recruited full-time Farmer Trainers to deliver training to groups of farmers. This structure enables Farmer Trainers to make visits and follow up with individual farmers.

**Lessons learned**

- **Rehabilitation is sometimes preferable to renovation:** Rehabilitation is less risky, results are faster and requires less investment than renovation. Whenever old trees can maintain productivity via intensive rehabilitation, this option should be preferred over renovation.
- **Adoption of a set of yield enhancing practices is essential to support R&R:** After the training, 56% of participating farmers had adopted at least 50% of the agronomic techniques from a baseline of 15%.
- **Farmers sometimes have to “See it to believe it”:** Each farmer group elected a “Focal Farmer” who provided a venue for trainings and a 40-tree demonstration plot. This approach proved to be effective as farmers immediately practiced the techniques they learned.

**For more information, please contact:** Carole Hemmings, Global Coffee Sustainability Director, TechnoServe, chemmings@tns.org

Source: (1) TechnoServe and GCP, *Economic Viability of Coffee Farming*, 2017